Controlling 3-D Movement of Robot Manipulator using Electrooculography
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Abstract: EOG is a biosignal generated by eye activities. This research develops the use of EOG signals in a new way. EOG was used to control a robot manipulator that reaches for a target in 3-D area. Five properties of EOG signal were used, which were: threshold, the polarity of the signal, integral of absolute EOG signals, peak, and period of a wave. The use of these properties allowed the apparatus to detect the EOG signals, estimate gaze distance, and distinguish between gaze motion, voluntary blinks, and involuntary blinks. Targets were recorded by two cameras that provided front and top views. The images were viewed on a monitor one at a time with the active camera selected by voluntary blinking. EOG signals were converted to pixel coordinates using an affine transform. The pixel coordinates were then transformed into robot coordinates using the correlation between camera position, robot position, and monitor display. Robot coordinates of a target became end-effector position, and joint angles were derived through inverse kinematics. The result shows that this system could reach goals with approximately 90% accuracy. This system successfully improved the flexibility of controlling a robot in three dimensions.
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1. Introduction

Biosignal controlled technology has the potential to dramatically improve the quality of life of people whose mobility and capacity to manipulate everyday equipment such as televisions and telephones are restricted due to physical limitations. The number of people in this category is increasing as the global population ages. On the other hand, world society is facing the trend of population aging. In general, the total of older people in the world (with age from 60 years) is projected to increase from 901 million in 2015 to 1.4 billion to 2050 or an increase of 56 percent on average. In Asia, this number is predicted to be 66 percent, which is the second-highest below Latin America and the Caribbean, which are at 71 percent [1]. Human aging is closely related to the decrease of mass and the voluntary contraction of muscle [2]. This condition often causes disability for older people [3]. Based on the WHO report on Ageing and Health 2011 [4], one disadvantage caused by the disability is reduced accessibility. People with disabilities might find difficulty even only to access basic needs, such as the television and telephones. The contrary condition happened when technology is created to help these people.

To tackle the communication issue between human and machine, bio-signal has emerged as an alternative method. There are many types of bio-signals, which were grouped based on the source of signals. Electroencephalography (EEG), electroneurography (ENG), and electroretinography (ERG) are bio-signals from neural cells. Electrocardiography (ECG) and electromyography (EMG) are types of bio-signals generated by muscle cells. Electrooculography (EOG) and electronystagmography (ENG) are generated by other tissues in a human body. There are five main science fields that work together in the bio-signal subject, which are medicine, biology, physics, electronics, and engineering [5]. The three main bio-signals widely used in augmented and alternative communication are EEG, EMG, and EOG [6].
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One of the bio-signals that are widely used in neuroscience is electrooculography (EOG) [7]. In 1848, Emis du Bois-Reymond found that polarity around a cornea is relatively positive to the retina. EOG is generated by eye activities such as gaze motions and blinks [8]. Eye activities change the magnitude of potential between the cornea and the retina [6].

In a review paper written by Pinheiro, C.G. et al. [5], there are two types of communication between humans and machines using bio-signals. The first is a switch-based control, which has a binary function belonging to the signal event. The second is a proportional bio-signal system, which has a capacity as a mouse movement. Since the EOG signal compared to EEG and EMG has relatively high amplitude, linear to the eye movement distance, and is easy to detect, EOG has a better opportunity to investigate in developing the proportional bio-signal.

Using EOG to perform specific, some special tasks in daily activities are being developed in various areas. These inventions improve the life quality of people in the human-machine interface. EOG is usually captured by attaching electrodes to the skin around the eyes. Besides the linearity, compared to EEG, EOG is easy to detect, and the amplitude is relatively high. This research used NF Instrument as the EOG sensor. This device had an amplifier (Head box), a processor box, and four electrodes. The electrodes consisted of a ground electrode, minus (reference) electrode, channel 1 (Ch1) and channel 2 (Ch2) electrodes. Three digital filters were given by the processor boxes, which were 1.6 Hz High Pass Filter, 60 Hz Low Pass Filter and 60 Hz Ham Filter. Another 60 Hz Low Pass Filter was added to reduce electricity equipment noise.

There are three basic steps in the human-machine interface using EOG, which are amplifying and acquisition EOG signal from human, pattern recognition to tracking the gaze motion, and action to control a machine using the signal [9].

Lv, Zhao et al. [10] investigated four eye directions (up, down, left, and right) and eye blinks using linear predictive coding cepstrum (LPCC) as the signal features. Spectral entropy was used to detect the endpoint of the signal. Dynamic time warping (DTW) was implemented to tackle the duration difference between the reference and testing template. Banarje et al. [11] tried to extract three EOG features, which were wavelet coefficients, Power Spectral Density (PSD), and Auto Regression (AR) model and combination of these and classified them by two methods, K-nearest neighbor (K-NN) and feed-forward neural network method. This research concluded that the neural network classifier reached better accuracy than K-NN.

An auto-calibrating algorithm to detect eye movement and blink from EOG measurements was also developed by [12]. The directions were distinguished into three groups: horizontal, vertical, and oblique. The distances were 2.5°, 5°, 7.5°, and 10°. There were two signals: horizontal EOG and vertical EOG, which were differentiated to calculate each peak. The proposed algorithm worked on two threshold types: duration threshold (maximum peak duration and maximum blink duration) and bound limit (saccade and blink). The integration of derivative AC coupled EOG was the feature that was used by [13]. It said that this value had a proportional correlation with eye displacement. This feature also clearly improved the performance of gaze tracking compared to the peak amplitude.

EOG can be developed for health monitoring. It was used to classify normal and subnormal eye conditions with Artificial Neural Network (ANN) [14]. Detecting eye movement using EOG was also implemented for health monitoring [15]. Eye movement also had a correlation with driver behavior [16]. Helped by EOG signal, driver behavior was studied to find characteristics of digital display, evaluate possible misperceptions from rearview mirror images, and to study about speedometer position inside a car.

Besides the functions of EOG in medical and psychological, EOG also dealt with machine control. Barea, R. et al. [17] was concerned with assisting disabled people in controlling their wheelchairs using EOG. A computer and graphic user interface were attached to the wheelchair. Some command functions appeared on the monitor to operate the wheelchair. Then a human-computer interface was developed by tackling the fatigue issue when an operator controlled the computer functions using the EOG signal. This study built the EOG model through a wavelet transform and neural network with 2° accuracy [18].
The eye movement to control computer functions was studied by [19]. Horizontal and vertical eye movements captured by EOG were transformed into cursor movements. A user interface provided some alphabet letters which could be chosen by the operators. For people with a severe motor disability, i.e., people who cannot move their arms, Lledo, L.D. et al. [20] built an internet browsing system based on EOG. Six operators subjecting themselves as volunteers to examine this application performed three types of tests: writing test, searching test, and mouse controlling test. The consumed times were calculated for each test. The result showed that all operators could finish the challenges in a reasonable time, and there was also a significant improvement with increasing experience. EOG also control some gadget functions [21].

A combination of Electroencephalography (EEG) and EOG was developed by [22] to control a robot to pick and place objects in three-dimensional spaces. EEG was designed to control the robot in vertical movement, while the movement of the robot in horizontal was controlled by EOG signals. Blink signal determined from EOG controlled the gripper to keep and release the object.

In this study, a system controlled only by EOG to operate a robot manipulator in the 3-D area was proposed. Two cameras were integrated into the system to give the front view and top view of targets. The EOG signals were separated into gaze motions and blinks. The robot moved based on the gaze motions and blinks switched the active camera. The linear relationship between EOG signals and gaze motions was determined by the affine transform. The system could detect voluntary and involuntary blink. The active camera was flip-flopped based on the voluntary blink.

2. Electrooculography

EOG signal was detected by an instrument sensor produced by the NF Corporation (Yokohama, Japan) designed for this purpose. This sensor had four electrodes, channel 1 (Ch1), channel 2 (Ch2), minus, and ground. These were calibrated in a previous research project [23]. Figure 1(a) shows the positions of electrodes on the face. Low impedance and high conductivity gel, illustrated by Figure 1(b) (Elefix paste, series Z-401CE) produced by Nihon Konden, was applied to the electrodes where they would be attached to the skin. The NF Instrument consists of a Head box and a Processor box, as shown in Figure 1(c).

The electrodes on the face sent the data to Head box. This box amplified the signals before sending them to the 5201-Processor box. The signals were filtered by embedded filters in the processing box. The filters used were a 60 Hz low pass filter (LPF), a 1.6 Hz high-pass filter (HPF), a 60 Hz hum filter, and an additional 60 Hz LPF electrical noise-reducing low pass filter (LPF) [24]. The sampling frequency was set to 100 Hz.

Threshold values, the polarity of the signal, and the integral of absolute EOG provided data to control the robot manipulator in similar ways to previous research by Rusydi [25]. Two thresholds were used to detect gaze signal initiation and cessation, a positive threshold (th+), and a negative threshold (th-). Two additional features of EOG, to extend the ability of the control system, were utilized: the peak ratio or F, and half wave period or T, as shown in Figure 2.
ratio was the ratio between positive and negative peak. Half period of an EOG wave was measured as the time period between detection of the first gaze motion signal and the first subsequent zero signal value. Eye activities were immediately detected when the EOG signal rose above the positive threshold or dropped below the negative threshold. The values of the signal amplitude between positive and negative threshold indicated that the eye was not blinking or gazing.

The positive polarity of the EOG signal indicated that it was above the positive threshold. Polarity was negative if a signal was detected passing through the negative threshold. The integral of absolute EOG was determined by summing the EOG values for a full wave from the last time the EOG signal was zero after passing the positive or negative threshold.

![Figure 2. Five features of EOG signals.](image)

### 3. Robot Manipulator

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Component</th>
<th>Feature</th>
<th>Value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Link 1</td>
<td>Length $a_1$</td>
<td>57 cm</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Link 2</td>
<td>Length $a_2$</td>
<td>53 cm</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Joint 1</td>
<td>Work space $\theta_1$</td>
<td>$-180^\circ \leq \theta_1 \leq 180^\circ$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Joint 2</td>
<td>Work space $\theta_2$</td>
<td>$0^\circ \leq \theta_2 \leq 90^\circ$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Joint 3</td>
<td>Work space $\theta_3$</td>
<td>$0^\circ \leq \theta_3 \leq 90^\circ$</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

![Figure 3. Three degrees of freedom robot manipulator. (a) Front view. (b) Side view.](image)
These signals were used to control a 3-joint robot manipulator consisting of two links. The first was a 57 cm stainless steel (SUS304) rod, and the second was a 53 cm aluminum (A2017) one. These were connected by three joints with movement limitations of $\theta_1$, $\theta_2$, and $\theta_3$ respectively. These were driven by three DC servo motors with 1000 pulse/rotation and 1/100 harmonic drive. Iron balancers were attached on the other side of the motor for stability. The range of motion of the robot is given by Table I. Figure 3 shows robot manipulator from two front views, front (a) and side (b).

The computer connecting the processed signal to the robot used Matlab Simulink software. The Real-Time Interface (RTI) function of MATLAB was used to send signals to a DSpace-1003 board, the output of which then controlled the servo motors. Each servo amplifier produced voltage to control the velocity of the motors through a digital to analog converter. The maximum voltage from the DSpace-1003 board was approximately 10 volts. The rotation angle of the servo motor was measured by the attached encoder, which provided feedback for the DSP board, which also had an analog to digital converter.

4. **Monitor Display**

![Figure 4. Digital Cowboy camera](image)

Figure 4. Digital Cowboy camera

![Figure 5. (a) Front View of robot, (b) Top view of robot.](image)
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Figure 5. (a) Front View of robot, (b) Top View of robot.
A monitor was used to display target positions. The monitor size was 32 cm x 27 cm (horizontal x vertical) with resolution 1020 pixels x 720 pixels (horizontal x vertical). This monitor displayed the targets using the picture from either one of the two cameras. The cameras were produced by Digital Cowboy and are shown in Figure 4. The first camera, a DC-NCR20U with resolution 1600x1200 pixels, provided the front view of the robot manipulator and the second camera, a DC-NCR13U with 1280x1024 pixels, giving the top view as shown in Figure 5(a) and Figure 5(b). The speed of the cameras was five fps.

The system was designed to distinguish whether a blink was voluntary or involuntary, with only voluntary blinks accessing the camera to change the view. Operators looked at the light green circle as the center pixel (0, 0), before tracking to the object. This center point was used as a reference. Operators always gazed back to this point after an eye movement was performed. The first camera viewed only the XZ-plane of the robot. The second camera viewed the XY-plane. Hence when the first camera was active, the end-effector used gaze motions to move in the XZ-plane. When the second camera was active, the end-effector used gaze motions to move in the XY-plane. The combinations of these motions allowed the operator to use the robot manipulator to reach the target in three-dimensional space.

5. Methodology
There were three major parts in the three-dimension robot manipulator control system using the EOG signal. They were processing the EOG signal, calibrating the camera control, and calibrating the movement of the robot manipulator. The EOG sensor had one input and two outputs. The data was the EOG signal from eye movements, gaze motion, and blinks. The EOG sensor was connected to computer_1, while the robot and the camera were connected to computer_2 and computer_3. The corresponding joint angles, related to the gaze motion, were calculated by Computer_1 using signals from the gaze motion to calculate the corresponding joint angles in the robot arm. These values were input to computer_3. Using the Real-Time Interface in Matlab, this computer sent the signal to the DSpace system to control the joints. The signal produced by voluntary eye blinking accessed the camera through computer_2.

The EOG based robot manipulator control in 3-dimension used two coordinate systems, pixel coordinates on the monitor and robot coordinates. The operator of the robot arm looked at the target on the monitor, which displayed visual input from the front camera. EOG signals were generated when there were eye activities. These signals were used to calculate the end-effector position in pixel coordinates or to detect eye blinking. The end-effector position in pixels was converted into real distance unit measurements. The actual distance values were transformed into robot coordinates based on the correlation between camera and robot position. The end-effector position was used to determine the angles of the three joints. This relationship was established by inverse kinematics. This process is shown in Figure 6.
A. Electrooculography

Relationship between EOG and Gaze Distance

Ch1 had a linear relationship with vertical gaze distance, and Ch2 had a linear relationship with horizontal gaze distance. The relationship between gaze motions and EOG in vertical is determined by (1), and the relationship between the gaze motions and EOG is derived by (2) [25]. Affine transform had been introduced to improve the system accuracy at 0.86° ± 0.67° in the horizontal direction and 0.54° ± 0.34° [26]. In this research, the affine transform was used to calculate the gaze distance based on the EOG signal. Based on accuracy of EOG, the target diameter on the monitor was about 2 cm while the operator at 40 cm in front of the monitor.

\[
v = \int_{\Omega_+} EOG_{C1}(t) dt + \int_{\Omega_-} EOG_{C1}(t) dt \]

\[
u = \int_{\Omega_+} EOG_{Ch2}(t) dt + \int_{\Omega_-} EOG_{Ch2}(t) dt \]

\[
\Omega_+ = \{ t : EOG_{chi}(t) > th+ \}
\]

\[
\Omega_- = \{ t : EOG_{chi}(t) < th- \}
\]

\[
i = \{1,2\}
\]

Blink Detection

Figure 7. The flowchart to detect voluntary blink.
The operator needed to work on the front view and top view of the robot manipulator to complete the 3-dimensional tracking object. Two cameras were used to fulfill this requirement. The first camera was in front of the robot, and the second camera was on the top of the robot. At one time, only one camera view was displayed on the monitor. The operator could change the display on the monitor by voluntary blink, while the involuntary blink was recognized by the system as the no-effect signal. On the other hand, a blink signal also had the same polarity combination with gaze motion to type 1, where both of the channels had negative polarity. The complete signal polarities are shown in table 2. This research determined the algorithm to differentiate voluntary blink, involuntary blink, and type 1 gaze motion using the EOG signal.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Gaze</th>
<th>Blink</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Ch1</td>
<td>Type 1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Negative</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ch2</td>
<td>Negative</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Figure 7 shows the method to detect voluntary blink. Blink activities could be detected from Ch1 signal properties. The signal from Ch1 should have a negative polarity. Half period of a wave, $T$, was used to discriminate the signal between type 1 gaze motion and blink. If the $T$ was bigger than $E$, the eye activity was type 1 gaze motion. Peak ratio or $F$ was used to recognize voluntary blink and involuntary blink. If the Peak ratio was smaller than the rate, the eye activity was voluntary blink.

### B. Target Position

Operators aim the targets by looking at the monitor display. EOG signal calculated the eye movement into pixel positions. The pixel positions of the goals were converted to the real distance unit (cm). The actual distance unit was a perceived location of targets by operators when they looked at the objectives on the monitor. Figure 8 illustrates an example of perceived location (Xp, Yp) of a target point (x, y). The relationships between a pixel in X-axis, Y-axis and Z-axis with the number of pixels in vertical and horizontal were determined by (3), (4), and (5). Xp and Zp were the perceived locations when the front camera was active. This location was located on the wall. The Yp was the perceived locations of the target when the top camera was active. In this case, the perceived site was laid on the floor.

\[
Xp = 0.19 \frac{\text{cm}}{\text{pixel}} \times A, \quad A = \text{Horizontal Pixel} \tag{3}
\]

\[
Yp = 0.21 \frac{\text{cm}}{\text{pixel}} \times B, \quad B = \text{Vertical Pixel} \tag{4}
\]

\[
Zp = 0.18 \frac{\text{cm}}{\text{pixel}} \times C, \quad C = \text{Vertical Pixel} \tag{5}
\]
Figure 9 shows the variables in YZ-axis. The robot manipulator stands on the center of coordinate (0, 0, 0) point. This robot touches a black circle, which is the target. The Z-axis of the robot is $d_w$ from the wall. The typical distance between the front camera and the wall is $d_1$. So, the distance between the front camera and Z-axis is calculated by (6). The height of the front camera from the floor is $h$, and the height of Y-axis of the robot from the floor is $h_2$. So, the distance between the front camera and Y-axis is determined by (7). The slope of the perceived line in the Z-axis is declared by $m_1$. It depends on the perceived location on Z-axis, $Z_p$, and the typical distance from the front camera to the wall. It is shown by (8).

$$\text{cam}_{1Y} = -(d_1 - d_w)$$  \hspace{1cm} (6)

$$\text{cam}_{1Z} = h - h_2$$  \hspace{1cm} (7)

$$m_1 = \frac{Z_p}{d_1}$$  \hspace{1cm} (8)

The front camera position in YZ-axis, (Y, Z), is $(\text{cam}_{1y}, \text{cam}_{1z})$. The intersection point of the perceived line with the Z-axis can be determined by substituting this value to the general from the linear equation, $Z = mY + b$, where $Z$ is $\text{cam}_{1z}$ (value in the vertical axis), $Y$ is $\text{cam}_{1y}$ (value in the horizontal axis) and $m$ is the gradient of the perceived line. This intersection is named by $b_{1Z}$, as shown by (9).

$$b_{1Z} = \text{cam}_{1Z} - m_1 \text{cam}_{1Y}$$  \hspace{1cm} (9)

By using $b_{1Z}$, the perceived gaze equation from the front camera to the Z-axis is declared by (10). The perceived point of the gaze motion in Y-axis is $Y_p$, and $Y_v$ is the distance between Z-axis and the standard location of the top camera to the floor. The distance between the top camera and Z-axis is $\text{cam}_{2Z}$. The top camera is hung on a vertical distance to the floor. With $h_c$ being the position of the top camera from the floor, the gradient of the perceived line from the top camera could be calculated by (11). If $h$ is the position of front camera to the floor, the distance from the top camera to the Y-axis is $\text{cam}_{2Y}$, as shown by (12).

$$Z = m_1Y + h_{1Z}$$  \hspace{1cm} (10)

$$m_3 = \frac{h_c}{Y_p + Y_v + \text{cam}_{2Y}}$$  \hspace{1cm} (11)

$$\text{cam}_{2Z} = h_c - h_2$$  \hspace{1cm} (12)

The intersection of the perceived line from the top camera with the Y-axis is $b_{2Y}$. By using the top camera position $(\text{cam}_{2y}, \text{cam}_{2z})$, this intersection value could be calculated by (13). By using $b_{2Y}$, the perceived gaze equation from the top camera to the Y-axis is shown by (14). The
position of the target is at the intersection of two perceived lines from the front camera and top camera. Since (10) is equal to (14), the Y position of the target is calculated by (15). The Z position of the target could be calculated by substituting the Y value to the equation (10) or (14).

\[
b_{2Y} = c_{a1} - m_2 c_{a2}
\]

(13)

\[
Z = m_2 Y + b_{2Y}
\]

(14)

\[
Y = \frac{b_{2Y} - b_1 Z}{m_1 - m_2}
\]

(15)

The other viewpoint of the target using XY-axis was needed to calculate the perceived equation in this plane to determine the position in X-axis. Figure 10 illustrates the position of the black circle as the target in XY-axis. The perceived location of the target on the wall is Xp. The slope of the perceived line could be calculated by (16). The intersection between the perceived line from the camera to the X-axis is b3X. It is determined by (17). Using b3X, the perceived gaze equation from the front camera to the X-axis is shown by (18). This equation generates the X position of the target.

\[
m_3 = -X_p / d_1
\]

(16)

\[
b_{3X} = c_{a1X} - m_3 c_{a1Y}
\]

(17)

\[
X = m_3 Y + b_{3X}
\]

(18)

Because p_x=X, p_y=Y and p_z=Z, thus the target position is \((m_3 Y + b_{3X}, \frac{b_{2Y} - b_1 Z}{m_1 - m_2}, m_2 Y + b_{2Y})\)

C. End-effector Position

The relationship between end-effector positions and the joints was determined by inverse kinematic. The end-effector position is the same as the target position. It was labeled as \(E(p_x, p_y, p_z)\), as shown by Figure 11. This point was calculated by (19), (20), and (21).

Figure 10. Variables in XY-axis.

Figure 11. The three joints robot manipulator.
Once the end-effector position is found, $\theta_2$, it could be calculated from $\cos \theta_3$ (22) and $\sin \theta_3$ (23) using the function of $\arctan 2$ (24). Equations for $\cos \theta_2$ (25) and $\sin \theta_2$ (26) are functions of $E(P_x, P_y, P_z)$, and $\theta_3$. Therefore, $\theta_2$ is also calculated by (27) where $\sin \theta_3$ is greater than zero.

\[
P_x = a_1 \sin \theta_1 \cos \theta_2 + a_2 \cos \theta_1 \sin(\theta_2 + \theta_3) \quad (19)
\]
\[
P_y = a_1 \sin \theta_1 \sin \theta_2 + a_2 \sin \theta_1 \sin(\theta_2 + \theta_3) \quad (20)
\]
\[
P_z = a_1 \cos \theta_1 + a_2 \cos(\theta_2 + \theta_3) \quad (21)
\]
\[
\cos \theta_3 = \frac{P_z^2 + P_x^2 + P_y^2 - a_1^2 - a_2^2}{2a_1a_2} \quad (22)
\]
\[
\sin \theta_3 = \sqrt{1 - \cos^2 \theta_3} \quad (23)
\]
\[
\theta_2 = \arctan 2(\sin \theta_3, \cos \theta_3) \quad (24)
\]

\[
\cos \theta_2 = \frac{P_z^2 + P_x^2 + P_y^2 - a_1^2 - a_2^2}{2a_1a_2} \quad (25)
\]
\[
\sin \theta_2 = \frac{\sqrt{P_x^2 + P_y^2}(a_1 + a_2 \cos \theta_3) - a_2 P_z \sin \theta_3}{a_1^2 + 2a_1a_2 \cos \theta_3 + a_2^2} \quad (26)
\]
\[
\theta_2 = \arctan 2(\sin \theta_3, \cos \theta_2) \quad (27)
\]

The angle value of joint 1 is simply computed from end-effector position $P_x$ and $P_y$. Equations (19) and (20) are rewritten as (28) and (29).

\[
P_x = \sin \theta_1 \sqrt{P_x^2 + P_y^2} \quad (28)
\]
\[
P_y = \cos \theta_1 \sqrt{P_x^2 + P_y^2} \quad (29)
\]

Which give the following solution, as shown by (28).

\[
\theta_1 = \arctan 2(P_x, P_y) \quad (30)
\]

### 6. Result and Discussion

Figure 12(a) and (b) visualizes the processes of controlling robot manipulator using EOG for tracking an object. In this example, the object was put on the right-up position from the first camera and left-bottom side of the second camera. Figure 12(a) showed the first view on the screen when the program was started. At that time, the front camera was active, and the operator first looked at the green light circle in the middle of the screen, then the operator gazed to the target on the first camera, which was the red object. After that, the robot manipulator moved to the target position on the first camera. The operator could freely move their eyes to the green
circle as the center after the robot finished the first movement. By blinking, the active camera switched to the top camera, as shown by Figure 12(b). Similar previous were performed until the robot touched the target on the top camera.

![Figure 12. The sequential of robot movement to touch target from front camera to the top camera.](image)

Threshold values in this experiment varied from ±15 µV to ±20 µV as shown in Table 3. This data were based on the data recorded by the NF Instrument when the eyes performed only slight movement or almost no movement. Five operators tried to keep their eyes static at an equilibrium place for about 5 seconds with 5 repetitions. The minimum and maximum EOG signals during that period were recorded. This acceptable range not only appeared among different persons, even a threshold from the same person also changed on a series of experiments. The maximum possibility of the threshold value, to solve this problem, was used to design the threshold. It was set to ±22 µV chosen since it was still relatively small compared to the maximum value of EOG value and still provided space should noise occurred. If an EOG signal from Ch1 passed the threshold value, especially the negative threshold, this signal was verified whether the signal is up gaze motion or blink.

Half period of EOG signal, $T$, was used to differentiate up gaze motion and blink. Five operators individually performed a blink and type 1 gaze motion 25 times. The half period or $T$
was recorded for both activities. With the same technique in designing the threshold value of the EOG signal, the threshold for T, named E, was set 0.27 seconds. EOG was detected as blinking activities when the T was smaller than E, and it was gaze type 1 if the T was equal or larger than E.

The absolute ratios between maximum and minimum EOG values from five operators, to distinguish between voluntary and involuntary blinking, were calculated. Five operators individually performed voluntary blinking and involuntary blinking 25 times. The result showed that the ratio was more significant for voluntary blinking, whereas the ratio for involuntary was smaller. The average ratio for voluntary blinking was about 1.7. The F value was set to 1.9 for design control. If the rate was bigger than F, the system detected voluntary blinking; whereas the rate was lower than F, the system detected involuntary blinking.

### Table 3. The EOG signal parameters

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Operator</th>
<th>Min/Max EOG (µV)</th>
<th>T (Second)</th>
<th>Ratio</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Static eyes</td>
<td>Blink</td>
<td>Type 1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>-15/+20</td>
<td>0.23</td>
<td>0.35</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>-16/+20</td>
<td>0.25</td>
<td>0.32</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>-17/+19</td>
<td>0.25</td>
<td>0.35</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>-20/+20</td>
<td>0.24</td>
<td>0.33</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>-15/+20</td>
<td>0.25</td>
<td>0.34</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 4 shows the accuracy of this design to detect voluntary blinking from five operators performing blinking 40 times. Accuracy 1 is the accuracy of the system to identify the voluntary blinking. This system can distinguish very well between voluntary and involuntary blinking based on the designed T. There were only two errors from 200 experiments. Accuracy 2 is the accuracy of the system to differentiate between blinking and up gaze motion. There are a few acceptable errors for this system caused by various eye speeds to perform blinking.

### Table 4. Accuracy in detecting voluntary blinking

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Operator</th>
<th>Number of Experiment</th>
<th>Detected</th>
<th>Not-detected</th>
<th>Accuracy 1</th>
<th>Accuracy 2</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Involuntary</td>
<td>Type 1</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>39</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>97.5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>100%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>38</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>100%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>38</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>100%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>39</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>97.5%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

There were four arbitrary targets in this experiment. The position of targets was arranged to represent four possible areas of the robot, as shown by Figure 13. Five operators operated the robot to touch the targets with ten repetitions. Table 5 shows the performance of the system while the robot was controlled by EOG signal. The result shows that this system successfully reached the targets in the 3D area. It was indicated by the end-effector touching the targets in 3-dimensional positions. On average, this accuracy of the system is about 90%. There were three reasons that affect the accuracy of the system. First, the camera changed unexpectedly when an involuntary blinking was detected as voluntary. Second, the active camera failed to change when a voluntary blinking detected as spontaneous. The third was when the voluntary blinking was detected as a type 1 gaze motion where the system was unable to change the active camera.
Table 5. Accuracy of robot movement controlled by EOG signal.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Operator</th>
<th>Target 1</th>
<th>Target 2</th>
<th>Target 3</th>
<th>Target 4</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>9/10</td>
<td>10/10</td>
<td>9/10</td>
<td>8/10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>10/10</td>
<td>10/10</td>
<td>9/10</td>
<td>9/10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>9/10</td>
<td>9/10</td>
<td>9/10</td>
<td>8/10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>10/10</td>
<td>9/10</td>
<td>9/10</td>
<td>9/10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>10/10</td>
<td>8/10</td>
<td>8/10</td>
<td>8/10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Average</td>
<td>92%</td>
<td>92%</td>
<td>90%</td>
<td>86%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

7. Conclusion

This research succeeded in building communication between eyes (EOG signal), two cameras, and a 3-DOF robot manipulator. Gaze motion controlled the robot to the desired positions. The voluntary blink signal switched the active camera. One camera displayed the front view of the robot, and another one gave the top view of the robot. This system successfully improved the performance of controlling robots from 2D [24, 25] into 3D areas only by utilizing the EOG signal rather than multiple biosignal [22]. Compare to the EMG method [27], the flexibility of the system will be one of the next challenges where operators could feel natural gazing at their eyes. On the other hand, this system successfully communicated humans and robots through the biosignal substituting hand and foot. It could be developed to control the robot to pick up and put objects.
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