

International Journal on Electrical Engineering and Informatics - Volume 4, Number 3, October 2012

Design of Bandwidth Adjustment Receiver for Optical Wireless Communication

M. F. L. Abdullah

Department of Communication Engineering Faculty of Electrical & Electronic Engineering University Tun Hussein Onn Malaysia faiz@uthm.edu.my

Abstract: Infrared wireless communication possesses two main attractive advantages over its radio frequency counterpart, namely the abundance of unregulated spectrum in 700nm – 1500nm region and the ease with which the IR radiation can be confined. Integrating microwave electronics and optics, it is possible to provide wideband communication services but it is well known that the signal level in an optical wireless receiver is weakest at the front end. This paper presents the concerned with a systematic approach to the design of receiver for indoor optical wireless communication. In particular, it is concerned with how one properly chooses the front-end preamplifier and biasing circuitry for the photodetector; and comparison of technique using bandwidth adjustment for better service quality with a bootstrapped transimpedance amplifier is presented. A controllable capacitance is introduced at the output of the second stage of the amplifier. This technique permits a bandwidth adjustment from 52Hz to 233MHz for a capacitance range of 10uF to 1pF.

Index Terms: photodetector, transimpedance preamplifier, bandwidth adjustment

1. Introduction

Wireless infrared transmission or optical wireless nowadays, has entered homes, offices, industry and health care, with applications in the field of remote control, telemetry and local communication. One of the prime motivators for considering the use of an optical carrier in the wireless context is the demand for greater transmission bandwidths. This is due the fact that radio frequency spectrum is already exceedingly congested and frequency allocations of sufficient bandwidths are extremely hard to obtain [1]. The intention of this paper is to provide insight into the research effort to date in optical wireless receiver both in academic and industrial contexts. The idea of using the optical medium for wireless communications is not new, having been proposed as a means for indoor communications almost two decades ago[2][3]. However, recent years have seen an increasing interest in the potential for free space optical systems to provide portable data communications. Proponents of optical wireless systems argue that the optical medium is the only cost-effective way to provide high bit-rate mobile services to volume markets. In most proposed infrared optical wireless communication [1-4], it is well known that the signal level in an optical wireless receiver is weakest at the front end. Weakest front end means that it is too noisy, too slow or both. The two parameters are not unrelated; it is easy to have a fast front end by preparing to sacrifice signal to noise ratio. This is where the system signal-to-noise ratio is determined and system performance level established.

2. Theory of photodetector

Semiconductor junctions that convert photon energy of light into an electrical signal by releasing and accelerating current-conducting carriers, ultimately to produce a baseband voltage for regeneration is called a photodiode[5]. In order to appreciate its performance in

Received: February 29th, 2012. Accepted: October 30th, 2012

M. F. L. Abdullah

practical optical wireless systems, we have to characterize the photodiode from three points of view: the physical viewpoint, the circuit viewpoint and the statistical viewpoint.

A. Photodetector physical viewpoint

The presence of incident optical power, entering a semiconductor device produces thermal agitation that release holes-electron pairs generated at various points within the diode as illustrated in Fig 1[6]. These carriers drift toward opposite ends of the device under the influence of the applied field. When a carrier passes through the high-field region, it may gain sufficient energy to generate one or more new pairs of holes and electrons through collision ionization. These new pairs will in turn generate additional pairs by the same mechanism. Carriers accumulate at opposite ends of the diode, thereby reducing the potential across the device until they are removed by the biasing and other circuitry in parallel with the diode as shown in Fig 2. The chances that a carrier will generate a new pair when passing through the high-field region depends upon the type of carrier, the material out of which the diode is constructed and the voltage across the device. The depth and extent of the junction determines the location of the depletion region and the light wavelengths that produce an efficient response. For a given photodiode and a given wavelength, a photodiode responsivity expresses the resulting efficiency through[6].

$$I_{p} = r_{\emptyset} \emptyset_{e} \tag{1}$$

where r_{\emptyset} – diode's flux responsivity and \emptyset_e – radiant flux energy in watts



B. Photodetetcor circuit viewpoint

From the discussion above and more detailed investigation, modeling the characteristics of the photodiode with discreet circuit components permits analysis of application circuits. Fig 2 shows the resulting model with an ideal diode, a current source and parasitic elements. C_d is the junction capacitance of the diode across which voltage accumulates when charges produced within the device separate under the influence of the bias field. The current generator $i_p(t)$ represents the production of charges by optical and thermal generation and collision ionization in the diode high-field region. Resistance R_D represents the diode's dark resistance, which is the resistance of the zero-biased diode junction. In order to use the photodiode efficiently we must design a circuit which will respond to the current $i_p(t)$ with as little distortion and added noise as possible. In order to derive information from the circuit responding to $i_p(t)$ we must understand the statistical relationship between $i_p(t)$ (the equivalent current generator) and the incident optical power p(t) [5-6].

C. Photodetector statistical viewpoint

As shown in Figure 2, the current source $i_p(t)$ can be considered to be a sequence of impulses corresponding to electrons generated within the photodiode due to optical or thermal

Design of Bandwidth Adjustment Receiver for Optical Wireless Communication

excitation or collision ionization. From various physical studies it has been concluded that for cases of current interest, the electron production process can be modeled as shown in Fig 3. Let the optical power falling upon the photon counter be p(t). In response to this power and due to thermal effects, the photon counter of Fig 3 produces electrons at average rate $\lambda(t)$ per second where

$$\lambda(t) = [(\eta/h\Omega)p(t)] + \lambda_o$$
⁽²⁾

where η – photon counter quantum efficiency h Ω – energy pf a photon λ_o – dark current "counts" per second

 $\lambda(t)$ is only the average rate at which electrons are produced. p(t) the number of electronic produced in any interval is statistically independent of the number produced in any other disjoint interval.[5]



Figure 2. Photodiode circuit model



Figure 3. Model of is(t) generation process

Each of the primary impulses produced by the photon counter enters a random multiplier where, corresponding to collision ionization it is replaced by g contiguous "secondary" impulses. The number g is governed by the statistics of the internal gain mechanism of the photodiode. Each primary impulse is "multiplied" in this manner by a value g which is statistically independent of the value g assigned to other primaries. Thus the current leaving the photodiode consists of bunches of electrons. For applications interest here, it will be assumed that all electrons in a bunch exit the photodiode at the time when the primary is produced. This shows that the duration of the photodiode response to a single primary hole-electron pair is very short compared to the response times of circuitry to be used with the photodiode.

D. Types of Photodetector

Photodetector consists of two variations of the basic photodiode that improves the diode's response. Physical study shows that PIN photodiodes increase the spectral bandwidth or range of light frequencies that produce an efficient photo response. Avalanche photodiodes increase the magnitude of the output current and the response speed by permitting diode bias at the verge of breakdown. For application interest, PIN photodiode is preferably in optical wireless communication system.

In terms of Noise Contributions: As a photodiode amplifier, the current to voltage converter exhibits a complex noise behavior. The major sources of noise in front ends are listed in Table 1, where e_N and i_N are rms values of random fluctuations [4],[7].

Table 1. Noise source				
Source	Туре	Formula	Dominates When :	
Photocurrent	Shot noise	$i_{\rm NSHOT} = (2eI_{\rm d})^{1/2}$	Bright light, large load resistor	
Load resistor	Johnson noise	$I_{\rm Nth} = (4kT/R)^{1/2}$	Dim light, small R	
Amplifier	Input current noise	$i_N = \sqrt{\frac{4kT}{R}}$	Ideally, never	
	Input voltage noise	$e_{\rm N} = \sqrt{4kTR}$	Dim light, large RC or a fast noisy amp	

Table 1. Noise source

3. Receiver Preamplifier Structures

This section is an example of how to choose and design a front-end amplifier for a visible or near IR photodiode and how to get improvement in bandwidth without a big sacrifice of SNR. The receiver preamplifier performs the critical function of interfacing the photodiode to the rest of the receiver. Typically, the preamplifier converts the received photocurrent into a voltage signal. The preamplifier plays a crucial role in determining many aspects of the overall performance of the receiver including speed, sensitivity, and dynamic range. Receiver preamplifiers are typically classified in categories as either a High-impedance amplifier or a T trans impedance amplifier.

High impedance amplifier theory: Figure 4 shows a typical receiver in schematic form consisting of a photodiode, an amplifier and an equalizer. This amplifier is modeled as an ideal high-gain impedance amplifier with an equivalent shunt capacitance and resistance at the input, in addition with two noise sources referred to the input. The noise sources for this particular part will be assumed to be white, Gaussian and uncorrelated. It is also assumed that amplifier gain is sufficiently high, that the noises introduced by the equalizer are negligible. The average detector output current, $i_s(t)$ is given by :[5]

$$i_{\rm S}(t) = \frac{\eta e p(t)}{\hbar \Omega} + e \lambda_o \tag{3}$$

where e = electron charge, λ_0 = dark current electrons/second, $\frac{\eta p(t)}{\hbar \Omega}$ = average optical

primary electrons/second.

Therefore the average voltage (neglecting dc components) at equalizer output, where "* " indicates convolution and A is an arbitrary constant :

$$\mathbf{v}_{\text{out}}(t) = \frac{A \eta e p(t)}{\hbar \Omega} * h_{fe}(t) * h_{eq}(t)$$
(4)

 $h_{fe}(t) = F\{\frac{1}{\frac{1}{R_{T}} + j\omega(C_d + C_A)}\}$ is the amplifier input circuit current impulse response $R_T =$

 $\left[\frac{1}{R_b} + \frac{1}{R_A}\right]^{-1}$ is the total detector parallel load resistance $h_{eq}(t)$ is the equalizer impulse response.

High impedance amplifier tends to give a degraded frequency response as the bandwidth relationship $\frac{1}{2\pi R_b(C_d + C_A)} \ge B$ is not maintained for wideband operation [5]. The detector output is effectively integrated over a large time constant and must be restored by differentiation. This is performed with the correct equalization. Therefore the high impedance front end gives a better improvement in sensitivity, but eventually creates a heavy demand for equalization and has problem of limited dynamic range. The limited dynamic range is because of the attenuation from the low frequency signal components by the equalization process which causes the amplifier to saturate at high level signals. If the amplifier is saturated before equalization has occurred the signal will be heavily distorted, thus reducing the dynamic range which is dependent upon the amount of integration and subsequent equalization employed.



Figure 4. High impedance amplifier with equalizer

Receiver preamplifiers based on the transimpedance amplifier are currently the most popular because they avoid the dynamic range problem associated with high-impedance designs, and because they provide a good compromise between the wide bandwidth of the low-impedance design and the low noise characteristics of the high-impedance design. Given a detector (Fig 2) whose output is a current, the easiest way to form a voltage from it is to have a load resistor (R_L). The output full swing appears across the detector capacitance C_d, rolls off starting at $f_{RC} = \frac{1}{2\pi R_L C_D}$. The signal voltage $V_0(f) = \frac{i_p(f)R_L}{1+j2\pi R_L C_D f}$. Reducing R_L will reduce the RC product and speed up the system, while increasing R_L increase the bandwidth and dynamic range of the system. Another key idea is to reduce the swing across C_D, by making the detector work into a virtual encoder since $c_{R} = \frac{1}{2\pi R_L C_D f}$.

detector work into a virtual ground using a transimpedance amplifier shown in Fig 5(a) [4],[7]. The inverting input of A_1 draws no current, feedback forces the voltage there to be close to zero at all times. A_1 senses the voltage across C_D and wiggles other end of R_f to zero it out. Provided that A_1 has high loop gain A_{VOL} , the swing across C_D is greatly reduced and the bandwidth greatly improved. The amplifier input adds a significant amount of its own

capacitance C_{in} . For a typical transimpedance topology using active devices with load feedback resistance R_f , the transimpedance gain A_z can be approximated by :

$$A_{z} \approx \frac{-R_{f}}{1+j\omega \frac{R_{f}(C_{D}+C_{in})}{A_{VO}}}$$
(5)

where A_{vo} is the open loop voltage gain of the amplifier and ω is the angular frequency.

The transimpedance rolls off depends on the magnitude of the impedance of the feedback elements. Therefore the transimpedance amplifier bandwidth is calculated with the following equation :[4],[7]

$$f_{3dB} \approx \sqrt{\frac{f_{RC}f_T}{2}}$$
 (6)

where f_T is the unity-gain crossover frequency

Equation 6 shows that the upper 3dB cut-off frequency of the preamplifier is a function of the capacitance from the detector, feedback resistor and open loop voltage gain. In other words, a large detector means a large C_d . Hence, in order to achieve large bandwidths either the value of R_f is reduced or A_{vo} is increased. Unfortunately, increasing A_{vo} will jeopardize amplifier stability and reducing R_f will increase thermal noise into the system.



Figure 5(a). Transimpedance amplifier circuit



Figure 5(b). Transimpedance amplifier noise model

Noise in transimpedance amplifier : From Fig 5(b), it is obvious that all the current sources are in parallel : i_d , i_{NSHOT} , i_{Nth} and i_{amp} . The Johnson noise i_{Nth} appears across R_f but since the op amp output impedance is low and the currents add linearly, the other end of i_{Nth} is at ground for noise purpose. The rolloff in the frequency response does not degrade the signal to current noise ratio. The amplifier's voltage noise, e_{Namp} is treated differently because A_1 is a differential amplifier. Therefore e_{Namp} is multiplied by A_1 's noninverting gain [4],[7].

Design of Bandwidth Adjustment Receiver for Optical Wireless Communication

$$A_{Vcl} = \frac{A_{Vol}}{1 + \frac{A_{Vol}}{1 + jwC_DR_f}}$$
(7)

If e_{Namp} is very low or if we are not trying to get a huge bandwidth improvement through $(f_T * f_{RC})^{1/2}$ mechanism, this rising noise contributions will not limit. Otherwise, it will dominate the noise starting at:

$$f_{3dB} = \frac{1}{2\pi e_{Namp} C_D} \sqrt{2eI_D + i_{Namp}^2 + \frac{4kT}{R_L}}$$
(8)

In order that op amp do not dominate the noise according to Hobbs[7], we should choose it by the following rules :

$$\begin{split} &i_{Namp} < 0.5 i_{Nth} \text{ (Noise of } R_{f} \text{ dominates } i_{Namp}) \\ &e_{Namp} < 0.5 \text{ } R_{f} i_{Nth} \text{ } (e_{Namp} \text{ should be the same in flatband}) \\ &e_{Namp} < 0.5 i_{Nth} / (2\pi f_{3dB} (C_{D} + C_{in}) \text{ (Noise peak should not dominate anywhere in the frequency band)} \\ &f_{T} > 2f_{3dB}^{2} / f_{RC} \text{ (The amplifier has to raise enough bandwidth)} \end{split}$$

 $f_T < 10 f_{3dB}^2 / f_{RC}$ (Speed too fast risks trouble with ringing and oscillation)

The transimpedance amplifier does not improve the SNR of the photodiode but it just changes the frequency response. There's nothing inherent or inescapable about noise peak in system, it comes from a poor choice of circuit topology that can be amended.

4. Receiver Design

A. Bandwidth enhancement technique

The majority of optical wireless receivers proposed [1][3][8][9][10][11] or demonstrated to date have employed transimpedance amplifier, due to the fact this configuration largely overcomes the drawbacks of high impedance front end by utilizing a low noise, high input impedance amplifier with positive or negative feedback. Bandwidth enhancement techniques are required that do not affect low frequency behavior, so that both high dc gain and large bandwidth can be obtained. Several of these techniques have been proposed in the literature in order to maximize the gain-bandwidth product of an amplifier stage.

One of the method is a transimpedance amplifier is built up with two capacitive coupled voltage dividers (R_1 - R_2 , R_3 - R_4) instead of a single feedback resistor[10]. The basic concept of this network is its different behavior at low and high frequencies. At low frequencies the transimpedance of the transimpedance amplifier is approximately the sum of R_1 and R_2 . For higher frequencies the parasitic capacitance of resistor R_2 has no effect owing to the low resistance of R_4 , which has no effect on the bandwidth of the transimpedance amplifier. Only parasitic capacitance of resistor R_1 has an influence on the bandwidth of the circuit at a nine times higher frequency than before. Cascading and capacitance neutralization compensate the input Miller capacitance thus expanding the bandwidth if the input pole is dominant. Unfortunately these techniques are less effective in low power amplifiers that use high speed bipolar transistors, if the output pole becomes dominant.

Another well known method to increase bandwidth of amplifiers uses peaking capacitors[9] or inductors. This method usually places inductors or capacitors in a strategic location of the amplifier circuit, resulting in a resonance with parasitic capacitances, which broadens the bandwidth of the amplifier. Although inductive peaking do increase the amplifier bandwidth, stray capacitances of the inductor often causes bandwidth degradation rather than an improvement. Capacitive peaking design is preferable, but this technique is extremely sensitive to process variations and could cause large peaking.

The topology being known as the bootstrap transimpedance amplifier (BTA) is an attractive design because it involves a positive feedback loop, which causes a point in the circuit to be pulled up as if by its own bootstraps. This principle is often used in high input impedance amplifiers, wide bandwidth designs, and in reducing the effective detector capacitance, C_d from the photodiode as seen by the signal as discussed in [11][12][13][14]. Therefore, a much improved version of a front-end, incorporated within a transimpedance amplifier, in terms of bandwidth is shown in Fig 6 [15]. The output of the emitter follower stage is feedback to the photodetector by a bootstrapping capacitor, C₃. Fig 7 proposes a combination of bootstrapped technique with a capacitive peaking technique by placing a capacitor, C_4 in series with the emitter resistor in the second gain stage, with a feedback resistor R_8 [16]. By varying the capacitor, the bandwidth of the circuit can be controlled. The output results of Fig 6 and Fig 7 are shown in Fig 8 and Fig 9. In each case the amplifier output is taken from the collector at Q_3 . Assuming that the gain stages and the emitter follower can be approximated by a simplified hybrid- π model, as shown in Figure 10, $R_1, R_2 >> R_7$ and we consider frequencies where $C_{1,1}$ C_2 and C_3 are short circuits, the transimpedance gain, A_z for the circuit is approximated by equation (9):

$$A_{z} = \frac{V_{o}}{I_{p}} = \frac{g_{m3}R_{6}[2r_{3} + R_{7}(1 - A)]}{(A + 1)[1 + r_{3}g_{m3}] + j\omega C_{4}[r_{3}(1 + g_{m3}R_{7}) + R_{7}]}$$
(9)

where A is the voltage gain of the first stage amplifier



Figure 6. Bootstrapped transimpedance amplifier circuit



Figure 7. Bootstrapped with capacitor peaking transimpedance amplifier circuit

Design of Bandwidth Adjustment Receiver for Optical Wireless Communication

Equation (9) shows that the receiver bandwidth is determined not only by the R_8C_d time constant but by a complex function of g_{m3} , r_3 , R_7 , R_6 and C_4 . The circuit effectively operates in between the low and the high frequency range. Thus, the modified circuit shows that varying capacitor C4, thus modifying the second stage gain can vary the bandwidth. The lower the value of C_4 , the higher the bandwidth becomes. This technique permits a bandwidth adjustment from 233MHz to 52MHz for a capacitance range of 1pF to 10μ F. The BTA circuit has a gain of 41dB with a cut-off frequency of 1GHz, while the modified BTA circuit maintains a gain of 48.2 dB as C_p is varies until C_p is 1uF and 10uF, the gain starts to drop to 47.7dB and 44.7dB respectively. The stimulation shows that the bandwidth adjustment between each capacitor value is a ratio of 10:1 to 8:1.



Figure 8. Frequency response bootstrapped transimpedance amplifier



Figure 9. Frequency response bootstrapped with capacitor peaking transimpedance amplifier



Figure 10. Model hybrid-pi of bootstrapped with capacitor peaking transimpedance amplifier circuit

A better proposed topology using a composite amplifier provides high bandwidth as shown in Fig 11. The bootstrapped transimpedance amplifier is connected in series with a voltage feedback amplifier and a RC filter. By varying the capacitor, C₆ between 50pF to 1nF the bandwidth of the circuit can be controlled in the frequency range of 6MHz to 60MHz as shown in Fig 12. There is a trade-off between gain and bandwidth compared to Fig 9. As the bandwidth is increased the gain of the circuit is reduced. If we assume that the gain stages, the emitter follower and second stage amplifier can be approximated by a simplified hybrid- π model, as shown in Figure 13. The transimpedance gain, A_{z1} for the circuit can be approximated by equation (10) considering frequencies where C₁, C₂ and C₃ are short circuits:

$$A_{z1} = \frac{V_{o2}}{I_p} = \frac{R_{19}R_{i1}R_6A_1[R_7 + AR_7R_6 - r_3]}{r_3(R_{19}R_{i1} + R_{19} + A_1R_{i1})(1 + j\omega C_6R_{20})}$$
(10)

where A is the voltage gain of the first stage amplifier and A_1 is the voltage gain of the second stage amplifier.



Figure 11. Composite transimpedance amplifier

Bootstrapping transimpedance amplifier effectively allows for a higher transimpedance gain and a lower R_f thermal noise contribution. Composite configuration of transimpedance amplifier effectively allows for a higher bandwidth with a trade-off of 10dB - 20dB gain, while maintain its noise contribution. In application wise, it is suggest to use the composite amplifier receiver to accommodate the high frequency range bandwidth adjustment, where a variable switching capacitor circuit would be use to vary the capacitance from the range of 50pF to 1nF. The propose switching circuit which is design to be incorporated together with the receiver will automatically select the right capacitance value based on the received signal quality and adjust the receiver to the required bandwidth.



Figure 12. Frequency response composite transimpedance amplifier



Figure 13. Model hybrid-pi of composite transimpedance amplifier

Conclusion

Infrared wireless had yet to exploit fully all the potential benefits offered by the medium. There is still a great deal of work to be done in the adaptation and optimization of coverage areas, especially with unknown receiver orientation. Receiver design is particularly challenging because not only dynamic range and bandwidth criteria has to be met, but also significant problems of high ambient light levels noise has to be dealt with. This paper has provided an insight issues associated with the front-end design of a wireless infrared communication. It has highlighted the significant maxims on choosing the biasing circuitry. To summarize, high impedance amplifier with a large R_f diminish the effects of thermal noise. However the receiver bandwidth is then usually smaller then the signal bandwidth, which require an equalization stage following the preamplifier as discussed. The circuit will be tricky, as the equalizer effect reduces the overall dynamic range of the receiver. The transimpedance front ends resolve these issues by using a large feedback resistor and an inverting amplifier, which boots the bandwidth without thermal noise and dynamic range problems. This paper also presented the bootstrap transimpedance amplifier technique using the adjustable capacitor has a wide bandwidth of frequency range, with an average gain of 48dB. The technique using bootstrap a transimpedance amplifier with a VFA as a composite amplifier has the lowest gain, 12.3dB, and the bandwidth adjustment is more focused in the centre between the high frequency range (HF) to very high frequency range (VHF). The bandwidth adjustment ratio for composite amplifier is much better as shown in Table 1.

Technique	Adjustable capacitor	Bootstrap TIA and VFA
Gain	48.2dB	12.3dB
Cut-off	52Hz – 233MHz	9.5MHz –
Frequency		103.5MHz
Ratio	1 : 10 (between each capacitor)	1 : 10.8

Table 1. Comparison of BTA and BTA composite amplifier technique

References

- [1] A.M.Street, P.N.Stavrinou, D.J.Edwards and G.Parry, "Optical Preamplifier designs for IR-LAN Applications" IEE (1996), pp : 8/1-8/6
- [2] John R.Barry, "Wireless Infrared Communications" Kluwer Academic Publishers, 1994
- [3] A.M.Street, P.N.Stavrinou, D.C.O'Brien and D.J.Edwards, "Indoor optical wireless systems – a review" Optical and Quantum Electronics 29 (1997), pp : 349-378
- [4] Stephen B.Alexander, "Optical Communication Receiver Design" *IEE Telecommunications Series, Vol 37 and SPIE Tutorial Texts in Optical Engineering, Vol TT22, 1997*
- [5] S.D. Personick, "Receiver Design for Digital Fiber Optic Communication Systems I" The Bell System Technical Journal, Vol : 52, (1973), pp : 843 – 874.
- [6] Graeme, J. G, "Photodiode amplifiers : Op amp solutions", McGraw Hill (1985).
- [7] Philips C.D. Hobbs, "Building Electro-Optical Systems : Making it All Work", John Wiley & Sons Inc, (2000), pp : 620 666
- [8] R.J. Green, M.G. Mcneill, "Bootstrap transimpedance amplifier : a new configuration", *IEE proceedings, Vol :136, No : 2 (1989), pp : 57-61*
- [9] Feng-Tso. Chein, Yi-Jen. Chan, "Bandwidth enhancement of transimpedance amplifier by a capacitive-peaking design", *IEEE Journal of Solid-State Circuits, Vol : 34, No : 8 (1999), pp :1167-1170*
- [10] C. Seidl, J.Knorr , H. Zimmermann, "Simple feedback network for bandwidth enhancement of transimpedance amplifiers", Electronics Letters, Vol : 39, No : 25 (2003)
- [11] Hoyle, Peyton," Bootstrapping Techniques to improve the bandwidth of transimpedance amplifiers" Analog Signal processing, IEE Colloquium, 1998.

- [12] Centurelli, F. Luzzi, R. Olivieri, M. Trifiletti, A, "A Bootstrap Technique for Wideband Amplifiers" *IEEE Transaction on Circuit and Systems, Vol 49, No. 10, 2002.*
- [13] Idrus, S. M, Rais, S. S, Ramli, A," Bandwidth Analysis of Bootstrap Transimpedance Amplifier for Optical Free Space Receiver, *ELEKTRIKA*, Vol 10, No. 1, pp 13-19, 2008
- [14] Idrus, S. M, Ngajikin, N. H, Nik Abd Malik, N. N, Aziz, S.I.A, "Performance Analysis of Bootstrap Transimpedance Amplifier for Large Window Optical Wireless Receiver" 2006 International RF and Microwave Conference Proceedings.
- [15] Abdullah M.F.L,Green.R, Leeson.M, "Optical wireless communication front-ends" High frequency Postgraduate Student Colloquium 2004, pp : 3-8
- [16] Abdullah M.F.L, Green R.J, "Receiver Amplifier Technique for Dynamic Service Quality Adaptation In Optical Wireless Communication" 4th International Symposium on Broadband Communication (ISBC 2010), 11-14 July 2010.



Mohammad Faiz Liew Abdullah received his BSc (Hons) in Electrical Engineering (Communication) in 1997, Dip Education in 1999 and Meng (Research) in the area of Optical Fiber Communication in 2000 from University of Technology Malaysia (UTM). He completed his PhD in August 2007 from The University of Warwick, United Kingdom in Wireless Optical Communication Engineering. He started his career as a lecturer at Polytechnic Seberang Prai (PSP) in 1999 and was transferred to UTHM in

2000 (formerly known as PLSP). At present he is an Associate Professor in the Department of Communication Engineering, Faculty of Electrical & Electronic Engineering, University Tun Hussein Onn Malaysia (UTHM). He had more than 10 years of experience in teaching higher education, which involved the subjects of Optical Fiber Communication, Advanced Optical Communication, Advanced Digital Signal Processing and etc. His research area of interest are Wireless and Optical Communication, WiMAX, Robotic with Communication.